

Approved by CC:

NOV 24 2014

# RFP 2015-501 Bulk Fuel

Vendors	Gas	Estimated Gallons	Total	Diesel	Estimated Gallons	Total	Total Dollars
Martin Eagle	2.1886	50,000	\$ 109,430.00	2.7055	102,000	\$ 275,961.00	\$ 385,391.00
Murphy Scott	2.1915	50,000	\$ 109,575.00	2.7155	102,000	\$ 276,981.00	\$ 386,556.00
RKA Petroleum	2.2124	50,000	\$ 110,620.00	2.7334	102,000	\$ 278,806.00	\$ 389,426.00
Lambert Oil	2.1904	50,000	\$ 109,520.00	2.6813	102,000	\$ 273,492.00	\$ 383,012.00
Petroleum Traders	2.1417	50,000	\$ 107,085.00	2.6502	102,000	\$ 270,320.00	\$ 377,405.00

✓



FINDING NO. 2014- 001

THE STATE OF TEXAS  
COUNTY OF JOHNSON

§  
§  
§

NOVEMBER 24, 2014

---

**WRITTEN FINDING PURSUANT TO TEXAS LOCAL GOVERNMENT  
CODE SECTION 271.905  
FOR ENTRY INTO THE MINUTES OF THE COMMISSIONERS COURT**


---


WHEREAS, Johnson County has sought competitive proposals for bulk fuel delivery to Johnson county; and


WHEREAS, the Johnson County Purchasing Agent and the Commissioners Court have considered the responses to such request for proposals, including but not limited to the pricing, the services provided, the employment of residents of the Johnson County and increased tax revenues to Johnson County.


The Commissioners Court of Johnson County FINDS that the local bidder Lambert Oil offers Johnson County, Texas the best combination of contract price and additional economic development opportunities for Johnson County created by the contract award including the employment of residents of Johnson County and increased tax revenues to Johnson County. The bid accepted by the Commissioners Court is within 3 percent of the lowest bid being rejected.


**GIVEN UNDER OUR HAND AND THE SEAL OF JOHNSON COUNTY THIS 24<sup>TH</sup> DAY OF NOVEMBER, 2014.**

  
Roger Harmon, Johnson County Judge  
Voted:  yes, \_\_\_ no, \_\_\_ abstained

  
Rick Bailey, Commissioner Pct. #1  
Voted: \_\_\_ yes, \_\_\_ no, \_\_\_ abstained

  
Kenny Howell, Commissioner Pct. #2  
Voted:  yes, \_\_\_ no, \_\_\_ abstained

  
Jerry D. Stringer, Commissioner Pct. #3  
Voted:  yes, \_\_\_ no, \_\_\_ abstained

  
Don Beeson, Commissioner Pct. #4  
Voted: \_\_\_ yes, \_\_\_ no, \_\_\_ abstained

Attest: Becky Williams  
County Clerk



**TEX LG. CODE ANN. § 271.905 : Texas Statutes - Section 271.905: CONSIDERATION  
OF LOCATION OF BIDDER'S PRINCIPAL PLACE OF BUSINESS**

(a) In this section, "local government" means a municipality with a population of 200,000 or less, a county with a population of 400,000 or less, or another political subdivision authorized under this title to purchase real property or personal property that is not affixed to real property. The term does not include a school district.

(b) In purchasing under this title any real property or personal property that is not affixed to real property, if a local government receives one or more bids from a bidder whose principal place of business is in the local government and whose bid is within three percent of the lowest bid price received by the local government from a bidder who is not a resident of the local government, the local government may enter into a contract with:

(1) the lowest bidder; or

(2) the bidder whose principal place of business is in the local government if the governing body of the local government determines, in writing, that the local bidder offers the local government the best combination of contract price and additional economic development opportunities for the local government created by the contract award, including the employment of residents of the local government and increased tax revenues to the local government.

(c) This section does not prohibit a local government from rejecting all bids.

---

**MOTION FOR COMMISSIONERS COURT IF LOCAL BIDDER IS CHOSEN AND IS  
NOT THE LOWEST BID**

**I move that the Court enter a finding that the local bidder \_\_\_\_\_ (name of local bidder) offers Johnson County, Texas the best combination of contract price and additional economic development opportunities for Johnson County created by the contract award including the employment of residents of Johnson County and increased tax revenues to Johnson County, and that the bid by the local bidder is within 3 percent of the lowest bid being rejected. Based upon this finding, RFP 2015-501 be awarded to**

---